

On Some properties of Di- Hadronic States

B. CHAKRABARTI*, A. BHATTACHARYA, S. MANI

Department of Physics, Jadavpur University

Calcutta 700032, India.

Abstract

The binding energies of di- hadronic states have been calculated assuming a 'molecular' interaction provided by the asymptotic expression of the residual confined gluon exchange potential between the component hadrons in the system. Meson- meson and meson- baryon states have been studied in detail and a mass formula has been used to calculate total mass of the 'molecules'.

PACS No.s: 13.30.Eg, 12.40.Aa, 12.40.Qq

Keywords: Di-hadronic molecules, Tetraquarks, Pentaquarks

*Permanent Address: Department of Physics, Jogamaya Devi College, 92, S P Mukherjee Road, Calcutta-700026, India e- mail: *ballari < underscore > chakrabarti@yahoo.co.in*

I. Introduction

Quantum chromodynamics allows for the existence of various multi- quark states, and the same can also be predicted by various phenomenological models [1-6]. While the properties of mesons($q\bar{q}$) and baryons(qqq) have been well documented both in theory and experiment, the exotics (states that do not fit into $q\bar{q}$ or qqq states) remain lesser known and understood. The exotics include the tetra- quark, penta- quark and hexa- quark states, hybrids such as $q\bar{q}g$ and gg or ggg glueball states [7]. The recent reports on discovery of the pentaquark $\Theta^+(1540)$ [8-10] have revived interest in the study of di- hadronic states in particle physics. The baryon Θ^+ which goes to K^+n cannot be a 3-quark state and its minimal quark content is $uudd\bar{s}$, making it manifestly exotic.

Investigations into the existence of multi- quark states using the quark and bag models [11,12] began in the early days of QCD. Non- relativistic potential models have also been used to study these systems [2,13]. Recently tetraquark states have been studied extensively, specially with regard to the $X(3872)$ particle [14-16]. QCD spectral sum rules have been used to test the nature of the heavy meson. The tetraquark has been studied in the framework of the string(flux tube) model [17] where it is assumed that di- quark- di-antiquark are connected by colour flux. Heavy chiral unitary approach has been used to investigate interactions between heavy vector mesons and light pseudoscalar mesons [18]. S-wave tetraquarks have also been studied using chromomagnetic interaction with full account for flavour symmetry breaking [19]. The spectra of tetraquark and pentaquark states has been evaluated. Complete classification has been provided in terms of spin flavour, color and spatial degrees of freedom for tetraquarks [20] The same has been done for 5 quark systems in terms of spin flavour $SU(6)$ representation [21]. However, little success has been achieved in understanding tetra- quark and penta- quark states as di- hadronic molecules due to the non-perturbative nature of QCD at the hadronic scale.

The present paper seeks to examine the tetraquark and pentaquark states as di- hadronic

molecules (meson- meson and meson- baryon). A molecular interaction of the Van der Waal's type is assumed between the constituent hadrons [22,23]. Binding energy of the molecules is calculated using two different wavefunctions obtained from the Statistical model [24]. Spin hyperfine interactions have also been taken into consideration, and a mass formula employed to calculate total mass of the molecule. Results obtained by using the two wavefunctions are compared to each other and to available experimental data. The approach has been clearly outlined in 'Method', with the calculations being presented in the 'Results' section. The work has been rounded off in 'Conclusion' which comprises a detailed analysis of the nature of results obtained and how well they compare to experimental data and results obtained by other groups.

II. Method

The di- hadron is constituted of either a meson- meson or a meson- baryon held together by a Van der Waal type of interaction. The formula for calculating the low- lying di- hadronic molecular mass is taken as

$$M_{Tot} = M_1 + M_2 + E_{BE} + E_{SD} \quad (1)$$

where M_1 and M_2 represent the masses of the constituent hadrons respectively, and E_{BE} represents the binding energy of the di- hadronic system and E_{SD} represents the spin- dependent term, taken separately.

E_{BE} can be calculated using the formula

$$E_{BE} = \langle \Psi(r_{12}) | V(r_{12}) | \Psi(r_{12}) \rangle \quad (2)$$

where r_{12} is taken to be the radius parameter of the di- hadronic molecule and $V(r_{12})$ is the di- hadronic molecular potential [22, 23]. $\Psi(r_{12})$ is the wavefunction of the di- hadronic state [24]. The wavefunctions for the ground state of the molecule are obtained from the

statistical model as

$$|\Psi(r_{12})|^2 = \frac{315}{64\pi r_0^{9/2}} (r_0 - r_{12})^{3/2} \theta(r_0 - r_{12}) \quad (3)$$

and

$$|\Psi(r_{12})|^2 = \frac{8}{\pi^2 r_0^6} (r_0^2 - r_{12}^2)^{3/2} \theta(r_0 - r_{12}) \quad (4)$$

where r_0 is the radius of the molecule and $\theta(r_0 - r_{12})$ represents the step function. The residual interaction of the confined gluon is considered similar to the Van der Waal's interaction and is assumed as that due to asymptotic expression ($r_{12} \rightarrow \infty$) of the residual confined one gluon exchange interaction with strength k_{mol} [22,23]. The potential is given as

$$V(r_{12}) = \frac{-k_{mol}}{r_{12}} e^{-C^2 r_{12}^2/2} \quad (5)$$

where k_{mol} is the residual strength of the strong interaction molecular coupling and C is the effective colour screening of the confined gluons. The radius r_0 of the di-hadronic molecule in Eqs. 3 and 4 is obtained by employing an additive rule to the radii of constituent hadrons. We take $r_o = r_1 + r_2$, where r_1 and r_2 represent the individual radii of the hadrons constituting the molecule, respectively.

Now, using Eqs. 2, 3 and 5 we get an integral for E_{BE} which yields

$$E_{BE} = \frac{315k_{mol}}{16r_0} 0.114286 \text{HypPFQ}[(3/2, 1), (2.75, 2.25), -\beta] \text{if } Re\beta > 0 \quad (6)$$

where Hyp PFQ is a hypergeometric function. Now, using Eqs. 2,4 and 5 we get

$$E_{BE} = \frac{32k_{mol}}{\pi r_0} \left[\frac{-0.75 + 0.5\beta}{\beta^2} + \frac{e^{-\beta}}{\beta^{5/2}} + \frac{0.66467e^{-\beta} \text{Erfi}[\sqrt{\beta}]}{\beta^{5/2}} \right] \quad (7)$$

where Erfi[] represents an error function and $\beta = \frac{C^2 r_{12}^2}{2}$ and $C=50$ MeV [25] has been substituted. The experimental mass for Θ^+ is 1540 MeV [8] being known, the values of masses of the constituent hadrons (K^+ and n) are substituted in Eq.1 to find the corresponding binding energy. This is then used in Eq.7 to calculate the value of k_{mol} . It is found $k_{mol}=0.47$, which

is fixed as the strength of the residual confined gluonic interaction corresponding to the di-hadronic wavefunction in Eq.3. Working the same way, $k_{mol}=0.52$ is calculated for the wavefunction in Eq. 4. The radii considered are $r(\pi) = 5.38GeV^{-1}$, $r(K) = 4.77GeV^{-1}$, $r(\rho) = 4.75GeV^{-1}$ [26], $r(D_s) = 4.3GeV^{-1}$ [27], $r(\omega) = 4.765GeV^{-1}$, $r(\phi) = 5.00GeV^{-1}$ [28]. Similarly $r(p) = 6GeV^{-1}$, $R(n) = 4.7GeV^{-1}$ [29], $r(\Lambda_c^+) = 5.727GeV^{-1}$, $r(\Sigma_c^+) = 3.386GeV^{-1}$, $r(\Xi_c^0) = 2.404GeV^{-1}$ [30], $r(\Sigma) = 3.9GeV^{-1}$ [31]. Experimental values of the respective meson and baryon masses have been considered for calculation [32].

E_{SD} , the contribution to total mass from spin hyperfine interaction is given by the following expression [33]

$$E_{SD} = \frac{8}{9} \frac{\alpha_s}{m_1 m_2} \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 |\Psi(0)|^2 \quad (8)$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the individual masses of the constituent hadrons in the di hadronic molecule, α_s is the strong interaction constant and S_1 and S_2 are the spins of the hadrons involved. $|\Psi(0)|^2$ is the value of the di- hadronic wavefunction at the origin. The spin contribution on calculation is found to be extremely small in comparison with binding energy of the molecules. The values of binding energy and spin- dependent term and total mass calculated for the di- hadronic molecules are displayed in TableI (Tetra- quark states) and Table II(Penta- quark states)and Table III (hexa-quark states). Available experimental data are presented alongside in the tables to provide a ready comparison with obtained values.

IV. Conclusion

The binding energies and masses of exotic states (tetraquark and pentaquark) have been calculated by considering them as di-hadronic molecules. The values are calculated using two separate wavefunctions (Eq. 3 and Eq. 4) obtained using linear and harmonic potentials respectively. The values obtained in both cases are found to be in close agreement with no serious discrepancy. This may be considered to suggest that for any wavefunction arising from a smooth background potential, the calculated values will be independent of the actual

potential. The experimental states are exotics whose spin- parity do not match with the expected quark- antiquark structure for mesons or the 3- quark structure for baryons, Hence pseudoscalar- pseudoscalar di mesonic states and vector- vector di mesonic states are assigned parity charge- conjugation PC '++' and pseudoscalar- vector di mesonic states have PC '+-' . The values of J^{PC} have also been indicated in the tables and those experimental states whose J^{PC} values match the predicted values have been considered for comparison. In case of di-mesonic states, a number of experimentally observed states were available, to which the calculated values have been compared, wherever possible. The calculated results are found to be in good agreement with experiment. The $\pi - K$ state is found to have a mass of approximately 0.73 GeV which is nearly the same as that calculated by Rai et al ($\sim 0.72\text{GeV}$) [25]. The binding energies of tetra- quark states are found to lie within a range of 0.094 GeV to 0.114 GeV, whilst the masses range from 0.373 to 2.575 GeV. The low- lying pentaquark states have been treated as meson- baryon molecules. The experimentally observed Θ^+ state[8] has been taken as reference for calculating the value of the interaction strength parameter. The obtained values have been compared to the few available experimental data and are found to be in favourable agreement. The calculated total mass for the $\Sigma - K$ state (1.77 GeV) is found to be close to the estimate given by Rai et al [25]. The well known Λ particle (1.405 GeV)[34] is found to almost exactly match the predicted $\pi - \Sigma$ molecule (1.41GeV). The binding energies of meson- baryon molecules are found to range from 0.0848 to 0.145 GeV whilst the total mass ranges from 1.41 to 3.3 GeV. In calculating the binding energies and total mass of hexa- quark particles experimental results were not available for comparison. Despite theory suggesting that the hexa- quark state should be more stable than the penta- quark state, experimental evidence has been found in support of the latter, not the former. Binding energies of the hexa- quark states are found to range from 0.0938GeV to 0.133GeV, and mass varies from 2.012GeV to 2.479GeV.

We have recently successfully predicted the mass of the pentaquark Θ^+ using a diquark approach[35]. This suggests that the same approach may be extended to studying other multiquark states as has been done here and we look forward to doing the same in our

future works. The good agreement of the theoretically calculated results with available experimental data and other theoretical works encourages us to suggest that a number of the predicted states may be experimentally detected in the near future.

V. Results

TableI: Binding Energies and Masses of Di-Meson States

<i>States</i>	<i>J^{PC}</i>	<i>Linear</i>	<i>Potential</i>	<i>Harmonic</i>	<i>Potential</i>	<i>M_{Exp}</i> (GeV)
		<i>E_{BE} + E_{SD}</i> (GeV)	<i>M</i> (GeV)	<i>E_{BE} + E_{SD}</i> (GeV)	<i>M</i> (GeV)	
$\pi - \pi$	0^{++}	0.0948	0.3738	0.0944	0.3734	0.4-1.2
$\pi - K$	0^{++}	0.1010	0.7335	0.1005	0.7355	—
$\pi - \omega$	1^{+-}	0.101	1.023	0.1005	1.0227	—
$\pi - \phi$	1^{+-}	0.0985	1.257	0.0978	1.256	1.235 (b_1)
$\pi - D_s$	0^{++}	0.1059	2.213	0.1055	2.212	2.317 (D_{s0}^*)
$\pi - \rho$	1^{+-}	0.0985	1.257	0.0979	1.256	1.17 (h_1)
$K - \omega$	1^{+-}	0.1075	1.3839	0.1074	1.3838	1.4 (K_1)
$K - \phi$	1^{+-}	0.1049	1.618	0.1045	1.6167	—
$K - D_s$	0^{++}	0.1142	2.575	0.1132	2.575	—
$K - K$	0^{++}	0.1075	1.0948	0.1074	1.0947	1.27 (K_1)
$K - \rho$	1^{+-}	0.1076	1.376	0.1076	1.376	1.41 (K_1)
$\rho - \rho$	1^{++}	0.108	1.659	0.1078	1.658	1.69 (ρ_3)
$\rho - \omega$	2^{++}	0.1077	1.665	0.1077	1.665	—
$\rho - \phi$	2^{++}	0.1052	1.9	0.1047	1.898	—
$\phi - \phi$	2^{++}	0.1024	2.1144	0.102	2.14	2.01 (f_2)

TableII: Binding Energies and Masses of Di-Baryon States

<i>States</i>	J^P	<i>Linear</i>	<i>Potential</i>	<i>Harmonic</i>	<i>Potential</i>	M_{Exp}
		$E_{BE} + E_{SD}$ (GeV)	M (GeV)	$E_{BE} + E_{SD}$ (GeV)	M (GeV)	
$\pi - N$	$1/2^+$	0.1017	1.1807	0.1014	1.1804	1.23(P_{33})
$\pi - P$	$1/2^+$	0.089	1.1672	0.0889	1.1667	—
$\pi - \Sigma$	$1/2^+$	0.0855	1.4118	0.0848	1.4168	1.4(S_{01})
$\pi - \Sigma_c^+$	$1/2^+$	0.1195	2.7125	0.1195	2.7125	—
$\pi - \Lambda_c^+$	$1/2^+$	0.0918	2.5104	0.9136	2.5170	2.52(Σ_c)
$\pi - \Xi_c^0$	$3/2^+$	0.1345	2.741	0.1332	2.742	2.645(Ξ_c)
$K - P$	$1/2^-$	0.0947	1.5258	0.093	1.510	—
$K - \Sigma$	$1/2^-$	0.0904	1.77	0.0899	1.77	1.75(Σ)
$K - \Sigma_c^+$	$1/2^-$	0.0973	2.877	0.0973	2.877	—
$K - \Xi_c^0$	$1/2^-$	0.145	3.109	0.144	3.054	—
$\rho - N$	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.1088	1.826	0.1086	1.823	1.9(P_{35})
$\rho - P$	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.0948	1.8078	0.0945	1.8079	—
$\rho - \Sigma$	$1/2^+$	0.0898	2.0508	0.0902	2.055	—
$\rho - \Sigma_c^+$	$1/2^+$	0.1289	3.3526	0.1289	3.352	—
$\omega - N$	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.1088	1.83	0.108	1.83	—
$\omega - \Sigma$	$1/2^+$	0.0898	2.06	0.089	2.06	—
$\omega - P$	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.0948	1.814	0.095	1.814	—
$\phi - N$	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.1055	2.064	0.1058	2.064	—
$\phi - P$	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.927	2.05	0.0928	2.05	—
$\phi - \Sigma$	$1/2^+$	0.088	2.301	0.089	2.301	—

TableIII: Binding Energies and Masses of Di-Baryon States

<i>States</i>	J^{PC}	<i>Linear</i>	<i>Potential</i>	<i>Harmonic</i>	<i>Potential</i>	M_{Exp}
		$E_{BE} + E_{SD}$ (GeV)	M (GeV)	$E_{BE} + E_{SD}$ (GeV)	M (GeV)	
$N - N$		0.133	2.012	0.1297	2.008	—
$N - \Sigma$		0.1106	2.428	0.109	2.241	—
$P - \Sigma$		0.0985	2.229	0.0976	2.229	—
$\Sigma - \Sigma$		0.0938	2.479	0.0939	2.479	—

VI. References

- [1]. J L Rosner; Phys.Rep. **11** , 89 (1974).
- [2]. T Barnes, K Dooley, N Isgur; Phys.Rev.Lett. **B 183**, 210 (1987).
- [3]. R L Jaffe; Phys.Rev. **D 38**, 195 (1977).
- [4]. S Fleck, C Grignoux, J Richard; Phys. Lett. **B 220**, 616 (1989).
- [5]. S Zouzou et al; Z. Phys. **C 30** , 457 (1986).
- [6]. H J Lipkin; Phys. Lett. **B 172**, 242 (1986).
- [7]. PDG, C Cass et al. ; Eur. Phys. JC **3**, 1 (1998), K Hagiwara et al. ; PDG Phys. Rev. **D 66**, 1 (2002), Erich Braaten, Masaki Kusonski, Shmuel Nussinov; arXiv:hep-ph/0404161 v1 (2004).
- [8]. T Nakano et al. ; Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 012002 (2003).
- [9]. V V Barwin et al. [DIANA Collaboration]; arXiv:hep-ex/ 0307018.
- [10]. S Stepanyan [CLAS Collaboration]; arXiv: hep-ex/0307018.
- [11]. R L Jaffe; Phys. Rev. **D 15**, 281(1977).
- [12]. D Strottman; Phys. Rev. **D 20**, 748 (1979).
- [13]. J Weinstein, N Isgur; Phys. Rev. **D 27** 588 (1983).
- [14]. R D Mattheus, S Narison, M Nielsen, J M Richard; Phys. Rev. **D** , —
- [15]. Muneyuki Ishida, Shin Ishida, Tomahito Maeada; arXiv:hep=ph/0509212 (2005). [16]. Eric S Swanson; Phys. Rep. **429**, 243 (2006)
- [17]. Mashaharu Iwasaki, Takahiko Fukutome; Phys. Rev. **D 72**, 094016 (2005).
- [18]. Feng Kun Guo, Peng- Nian Shen, Huan Ching Chiang; Phys. Lett. **B 647**, 133 (2007).
- [19]. Hogasen Buccella, Richard et al. ;Eur. Phys. J. **C 49**,743 (2007).
- [20]. E Santopinto, Galata Guiseppe; Phys Rev. **C 75** 4,04 (2007)
- [21]. R Bijker, M M Giannini, E Santopinto; Eur. Phys. J **A 22**, 319 (2004).
- [22]. P C Vinodkumar, J N Pandya, S B Khadkikar; Pramana-J Phys.**39**,47 (1992).
- [23]. P C Vinodkumar, J N Pandya, Ajay Kumar Rai; DAE- BRNS Symposium on Nucl.

Phys. **B**, 334 (2003).

[24]. A Bhattacharya et al, Eur. Phys. J **C 2**, 671 (1998).

[25]. Ajay Kumar Rai, J N Pandya, P C Vinodkumar; Indian J. Phys.**80(4)**, 387 (2006).

[26]. S N Banerjee et al; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 2**, 1829 (1987)

[27]. B Chakrabarti; Mod. Phys. Lett.**A 12 No 28**, 2133 (1997).

[28]. S N Banerjee et al; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 4**, 943 (1989).

[29]. S N Banerjee et al; Can. J. Phys. **66**, 749, (1988).

[30]. B Chakrabarti et al; Phys. Scr. **61**, 49, (2000).

[31]. arXiv:hep-ex/010-6053v2.

[32]. W M Yao et al; J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **33**, 1 (2006).

[33]. Ajay Kumar Rai, J N Pandya, P C Vinodkumar; Nucl. Phys. **A782**,406c-409c (2007).

[34]. M Lutz et al; Nucl. Phys. **A700**, 193, (2002); J Nacher et al; Phys. Lett. **B455**, 55, (1999)

[35]. B. Chakrabarti et al; Nucl. Phys. **A782/1-4**, 392c, (2007).